ITEMS RECEIVED AFTER THE PRODUCTION OF THE REPORT FOR THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TO BE HELD ON 3 JANUARY, 2019

Page	<u>Application</u>	Location	<u>Item</u> No.	<u>Description</u>	
PLANNING APPLICATIONS					
P.37	2018/01231/FUL	Cwrt Canna, land adjacent to Llangan Primary School, Llangan	1.	Comments from Llangan Primary School Headteacher	
P.70	2018/00996/FUL	Clawdd Coch, Pendoylan	2.	From the applicant – 27 signatures in support of the application	



MATTERS ARISING FOR COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE DATE: 3 January 2019

Location: Cwrt Canna, land adjacent to Llangan Primary School, Llangan

Proposal: Residential-led mixed use development comprising of 13 affordable

homes, 2 additional office buildings, a community building and ancillary development including landscaping, drainage, car parking, creation of a

new vehicular access and other associated infrastructure

From: Llangan Primary School

Summary of Comments:

An email has been received from the Headteacher at Llangan Primary School, which raises the following concerns from the Governing Body:

Comments

1) The proposals show that the lay-by will be reduced to allow only for a bus to park.

This is problematic because currently, despite having no-parking lines painted in the lay-by and signage present prohibiting parking, vehicles are still being parked in the lay-by especially at school drop off and pick up times. The reality of the situation is that vehicle owners do still choose to park in the lay-by and that they will continue to want to use this area even when the space is cut back. Reducing the lay-by space will cause chaos in the mornings and at the end of the school day as vehicles will still be attempting to access the lay-by area.

2) To satisfy the visibility splay standards, the lay-by will have to be removed back to the school entrance.

The plans do not accurately show how far the lay-by will be cut back. The lay-by must be removed back to the school entrance to satisfy the requirements (to start of the green line). This will maximise the disruption outlined in point 1 above.

- 3) The visibility splays on the existing junction are not up to the current highways standards.
- A lot more will have to be done to bring visibility up to standard at the existing junction. Users of the road currently have difficulty entering from the minor highway to the main road due to poor visibility. This section is particularly dangerous for pedestrians, especially when vehicles making 'u' turns around the island on the junction are included. This happens frequently on a daily basis. Increased pressure in this area will increase the likelihood of accidents.

4) The drawings as shown raise significant health and safety risks for road users and pedestrians alike.

The planning document below recommends that "Where a site is at the junction of two public roads (or private streets determined for adoption) the access should normally emerge onto the minor road (see Figure 4). This concentrates turning movements at a single point on the major road." See figure 4 in this document:

https://www.planningni.gov.uk/index/policy/supplementary_guidance/dcans/dcan15-vehicular-access.pdf

I would suggest placing the access to the proposed site at its north western corner and to increase the width of the road along the minor road to the junction.

- 5. The school's car park has not been designed with the ability to drop off. There is no area to turn, cars must park by either reversing in or out of a space. Cars doing this quickly will increase likelihood of accidents. The walkway provision is poor and children have to cross the carpark. There is no lighting and is therefore dangerous for after school club, or evening school activities. The carpark is not large enough to accommodate for all pick up to occur here. Especially as this will increase the parking time. Parents will need to leave vehicles to walk into school to collect.
- 6. Traffic calming measures are a necessity to reduce speed eg permanent speed camera. Weight of vehicle measures to divert heavy lorries/trucks that cross from Llandow to M4 (and vice versa), be asked to go along A48 to M4.
- 7. The proposed commercial units, lack of visitor parking and possible residential parking would increase the use of parking in the remaining section of the layby.
- 8. Street lighting would need to be put in as anyone walking to and from the school in the winter would need to have a lit pavement.

Officer Response:

While it is wholly understandable that the safety of pupils is of paramount importance, it principally falls to the school to convey the prohibition of parking in the layby to parents. The proposal would essentially retain sufficient parking for buses/coaches and the school would need to stress to parents the importance of not using that space during the peak times. While the submitted plan only shows the splay (as opposed to the actual works to the layby, there is a condition requiring these details to be approved by the LPA.

While the concerns regarding the existing nearby junction are noted, this development could not reasonably be required to deal with any issues that arise at that junction, given the relatively modest number of additional vehicle movements that would be associated with the development, and given that this development is not served by that junction.

The applicant has advised that the access is not proposed off the minor lane that adjoins the site due to issues with levels. Notwithstanding that, it is apparent that significant works would be required to create adequate vision splays along that lane, and that would increase the number of vehicles using the existing junction onto the main road that the representations raise concerns with (at point 3 above).

The concerns regarding the existing car park are noted and the case officer is seeking an opportunity to discuss these with the Council's Education and Highways departments. However, those discussions relating to existing concerns regarding the car park (involving the school) would be outside of the scope of this planning application. The loss of part of the layby would not remove authorised parking spaces, given that parking in the layby is prohibited at peak times.

The concerns/requests regarding traffic calming have been forwarded to the Council's Highways Section, however, it is considered that such measures could not reasonably be required of this applicant to make this specific development acceptable. The existing route is not lit and it is similarly considered that the development is not of a scale that would justify a condition requiring the route to be lit.

Parking space numbers are assessed in the officer's report.

Relevant Consultee Response:

Following consultation with the Head of Strategy, Community Learning and Resources in respect of the concerns raised, no objection has been raised from an education perspective.

Action required: Members to note



MATTERS ARISING FOR COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE DATE: 3 January 2019

Application No.:2018/00996/FUL

Case Officer: Mrs. Y. J. Prichard

Location:

Clawdd Coch, Pendoylan

Proposal: Proposed demolition of agricultural barn and outbuildings. Proposed new

dwelling with detached garage with hobby room over

From: Applicant

Summary of Comments:

A 27 named petition has been submitted in support of the application, on the basis that the current sustainability policies deny the younger age group the opportunity to live in the village of Pendoylan and build a family home in the hamlet of Clawdd Coch.

Officer Response:

The comments are noted, however the circumstances of the applicant and sustainability issues have been fully addressed in the report.

Action required:

None

Mr & Mrs Graham-Wride
C/o The Paddock
Pendoylan
Vale of Glamorgan
CF71 7UP

Tel: Email:

Delivered by Hand 2nd January 2019

Reference: 2018/00996/FUL

Applicant: Graham Wride

Agent: Andrew Parker

Mrs Yvonne Pritchard,

Please find enclosed some supporting evidence that I would like to submit prior to tomorrow's meeting.

Enclosed signatures to support our planning application.

Kindest Regards

Charlotte Graham-Wride

Ref: http://www.valeofglamorgan.gov.uk/Documents/Living/Planning/Policy/LDP/Action-Points/HS1-AP4.pdf

Name	Address	Postcode	Comments
·········	roud 633	rosttode	Commence
Edward REES	BRYN MEZYGHN ICHEM PENDOLLAN	CF71 7UP	SITE IS Duney BARNS NEED TO BE REMOVED
HOEN SMMW06	TCALM CLOSE STATALAN	CF62 4HJ	
PETCA FOX	Agnnon Deilo Rendoylan.	cf71 7uJ	policy in respect of this planing applications does not apply.
PAVIP GYLES	50 HEOR ST CATTONI PENDUYLAN	7 c F 71	SUPPORT FOR COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES HAS DECLIN AND ANY NEW DEVELO WOULD ASSIST IN PEVEL THE TECHN.
Jeff Shomin.	Pengelli Faeh Pendaplan	CF71 7U1	tully support.
by thomas	И	И	4
6 Evans	bas yaru farm Hed y March	CF5 6TS	We definitely supports this application for
Roberts	((`		a lady have and brought sup in

Ref: http://www.valeofglamorgan.gov.uk/Documents/Living/Planning/Policy/LDP/Action-Points/HS1-AP4.pdf

Name	Address	Postcode	Comments
Tonet Rees	The Bryn Farm Pendoylan Cowbridge	CF71 7ep	I surport
Peter Anstey	- Ca C	CF71 TUS.	I Support this,
TRACY	TY FFYNNON PENDOYLAN CONBREDGE	CF71 74J	I Support this.
Harin	Ty Fry Farm Pendoglan Cousbridge.	CFYI YUZ	T support dhis
Magnet	18 St Dawds Rd.	ef72 85u	I support This
Rosin	BOLGOES FREM HRUSUL	CF72 830	I Support
atorgina	Bolgotal Favon Horsola.	CF72 8JU	1. Support
Souls	Mil Coach Have Newsol Id.	Cf72 850	1 Support. Mrs

Ref: http://www.valeofglamorgan.gov.uk/Documents/Living/Planning/Policy/LDP/Action-Points/HS1-AP4.pdf

Name	Address	Postcode	Comments
RUTH REES	1 Clawddeoch PEND oy handges conbindge	ef7170	redevelopment.
ROGER GWYN BRES	I CLANDDCOCH COTTS PENDOYLAN CONBRIDGE	CF71 7UP	the profesal would
PHILIP HANNEN	Y FFERMOY GWYN PENDOYLAN SOWOLIDGE	CF71	Proposal will enhance the area.
Morgan Wride	76 Riversdale Llandaff Cardiff	CF5 2QL	1 support this development
LINDA SAMES	71, RIVERSDALE, LLAMPARF, CARDIFF	CFS ZQL	I support this development.
laura Wride	76, Riversdale Llandoff Graipp	CF5	I do support This clevelopement
Nich WR1012	He Rievidale Undath	CF5 2RL	I support this,
Alorg Harner.	Y Fremy Crays Perdoplan	CF11 744	controlled planning rested to sustain the committy

Ref: http://www.valeofglamorgan.gov.uk/Documents/Living/Planning/Policy/LDP/Action-Points/HS1-AP4.pdf

Name	Address	Postcode	Comments
MRS MROE	Claudd Coul 6B Leidour	ļ 1	1 SUPPOR AUS application
Sherr Wide	The Paddock America Claudd Cock	CF17U	\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.
ower kees	Brynbach Poutson lane CF717UP	(F717UP	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	=		
if the state of th			