

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON  
5<sup>TH</sup> MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: MR. L. JONES

TO: THE EXECUTIVE LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR PERFORMANCE  
AND RESOURCES

**DANGERS BEHIND EXCESS EMF EXPOSURE**

Do you all Truly Comprehend the dangers behind excess EMF exposure? i.e. all the 3, 4 and 5G mobile telecommunications masts? Who is signing off on the planning and safety as they are liable for damages? Who is signing off on these being installed on top of school buildings hospitals etc. or even being anywhere close to our children's schools? Application for installation of 5G telecoms masts has been given by non-registered OFCOM register of persons with power under the electronics communications code. I strongly advise you and colleges to visit this website and read all the scientific evidence showing the dangers of over exposure before people are held personally responsible for damages.

<https://aches.international/uk-projects-against-mobile-masts/> Radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are used to enable a number of modern devices, including mobile telecommunications infrastructure and phones, Wi-Fi, and Bluetooth. As radiofrequency EMFs at sufficiently high power levels can adversely affect health, ICNIRP published Guidelines in 1998 for human exposure to time-varying EMFs up to 300 GHz, which included the radiofrequency EMF spectrum. Since that time, there has been a considerable body of science further addressing the relation between radiofrequency EMFs and adverse health outcomes, as well as significant developments in the technologies that use radiofrequency EMFs. Accordingly, ICNIRP has updated the radiofrequency EMF part of the 1998 Guidelines. This document presents these revised Guidelines, which provide protection for humans from exposure to EMFs from 100 kHz to 300 GHz.

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON  
5<sup>TH</sup> MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: MR. J. BROWN

TO: THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR SUSTAINABLE  
PLACES

**BARRY ISLAND TOILET BLOCK**

What is the latest news in regard to any progress on the Barry Island toilet block and steps taken forward for regeneration?

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON  
5<sup>TH</sup> MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: MR. J. BROWN

TO: THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR SUSTAINABLE  
PLACES

**MOLE REGENERATION PLANS**

The Marina is a great idea however there need to be pleasure boat trips incorporated on the Mole and water to afford members of public trips to Flatholm / Cardiff Bay for example. Will this service be part of any Mole regeneration plans?

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON  
5<sup>TH</sup> MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: MR. J. BROWN

TO: THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR SUSTAINABLE  
PLACES

**BARRY WATERFRONT**

The district centre on Barry Waterfront has so much potential. What steps has the Council made to address the empty units and also support meanwhile uses such as pop ups. Not to mention the high rates commanded which is off putting despite demand?